IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.818 OF 2006
IN
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.711 OF 2006

Hanmant Baban Koli ...Applicant

vs.

The State of Maharashtra ...Respondent

Mr.Sudatta Patil for the Applicant
Mr.S.S.Pednekar A.P.P. for State

CORAM: A.S.OKA,J.
DATE : SEPTEMBER 29,2006.

P.C.:

1. Heard Advocates for the parties. The conviction of the Applicant is for offences under sections 306 and 498-A of the Indian Penal Code. The substantive sentences are ordered to run concurrently. The maximum substantive sentence is of five years. My attention has been invited to the depositions of the mother, father and uncle of the deceased in which there are absolutely no particulars about the demands made by the Applicant. My attention has been invited to the dying declaration which is recorded by the Police Constable.

2. The Applicant was on bail throughout the trial and there are no allegations made as regards misuse of the liberty granted to him. Considering the evidence on record, I find that a case is made out for enlarging the Applicant on bail.

3. Hence, I pass the following order :

i) The Applicant shall be enlarged on the same bail on which he was enlarged during the pendency of the trial subject to filing fresh bail bonds.

ii) Bail is granted subject to the condition that the Applicant will report to Sangli Police Station on every first Saturday of every calendar month till the disposal of the Appeal.

JUDGE